

2022-2023 NCBA ANNUAL RULES COMMITTEE MEETING RESULTS

COMMITTEE:

SANDY SANDERSON, PRESIDENT OF NCBA: IN ATTENDENCE

ERIC CURITORE, VP OF BASEBALL OPERATIONS/DIVISION I DIRECTOR: IN ATTENDENCE

JIMMY HENDERSON, DIRECTOR OF DII BASEBALL OPERATIONS: IN ATTENDENCE

RYAN NORRIS, REGIONAL DIRECTOR AT-LARGE BID: IN ATTENDENCE

JOE CARACCI, DIVISION I TEAMS REPRESENATIVE: VIA ZOOM

CHAD LOWE, DIVISION II TEAMS REPRESENTATIVE: IN ATTENDENCE

PLEASE CLICK ON THE BELOW LINKS TO REFERNCE SPECIFIC RULES DETAILED IN DOCUMENT

NCBA DI RULES:

https://clubbaseball.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/2022 NCBA DI Rules.pdf

NCBA DII RULES:

https://div2.clubbaseball.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/2022 NCBA_DII_Rules.pdf

NCBA DIII RULES:

https://div3.clubbaseball.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/2022_NCBA_DIII_Rules.pdf

NCAA RULES:

https://www.ncaapublications.com/productdownloads/BA22.pdf

WHILE ALL SUGGESTIONS WERE DISSCUSSED, SOME SUGGESTIONS DID NOT RECEIVE ENOUGH SUPPORT TO WARRANT A VOTE.

OFFICIAL VOTE TALLY AND NOTES LISTED IN RED TEXT BELOW EACH SUGGESTION

FOR ANY FURTHER CLARIFICIATION REGARDING THE RESULTS, TEAMS CAN REACH OUT TO VP OF BASEBALL OPERATIONS, ERIC CURITORE @ ERIC.CURITORE@COLLCLUBSPORTS.COM



NCBA (All Divisions)

Name: Multiple Entries

Email Address: N/A

Which team are you associated with?: N/A

What is your role with the team?: N/A

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify,

remove or add?: DI & DII 11.02.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: *Remove the restriction on white-barreled BBCOR bats.*

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Players from several teams still use white-barreled bats & the rule is never enforced even when brought up to umpires. Personally, I've had no experience with white barrels being more dangerous than other colors, and I would have no problem with players on all teams being allowed to use them. While they've been largely discontinued following the rule change, many club players just use their high school bats from a couple of years ago. Rule interpretations isn't consistent amongst teams, players & umpires.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: White-barreled BBCOR certified bats are legal for use in NCBA play.

ELIMINATE RULE 11.02.0 TO ALLOW WHITE BARREL BATS IN PLAY

DOES NOT PASS: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES

MODIFY RULE 11.02.0 TO READ: EFFECTIVE FOR THE '20/'21 SEASON, PREDOMINANTLY WHITE BARREL BBCOR CERTIFIED & OTHER COLORED NON-COMPLIANT BATS ARE ILLEGAL PER THE NCAA RULES.

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES

*THE NCBA RULEBOOK TOUCHES ON PROTOCOL FOR WHEN A WHITE BARREL BAT IS USED - 11.01.1.1 & 11.01.1.2. TEAMS ARE ENCOURAGED TO HOLD OTHER TEAMS ACCOUNTABLE FOR FOLLOWING ALL NCBA RULES. LINKS BELOW PROVIDE INFO ON STAYING COMPLIANT.

NCAA PROHIBITED COLOR BAT LIST:

https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/championships/sports/baseball/rules/2021-22 PRMBA BarrelColorCompliance.pdf

NCAA LEGAL BAT LIST:

http://www.mme.wsu-ssl.org/certifiedbaseballbats.aspx



Name: Joshua Motenko

Email Address: jmotenko@cocc.edu

Which team are you associated with?: Central Oregon Community College

What is your role with the team?: Faculty

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify,

remove or add?: DI 7.03.1

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule:

COCC is proposing, as a member of your league for over a decade, that the league allow participants from a satellite campus to enroll and participate in Club Sport Activities at their local sister school, if their main campus is over a certain distance away – thus disabling those students from participating in the main campus' athletics and club sport teams.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?:

Central Oregon Community College is requesting the ability for Dually Enrolled students at OSU-Cascades and COCC here in Bend, OR to have access to our Club Baseball team (OSU-C students can't play with OSU Athletics or OSU Club Sport teams because that campus in Corvallis, OR is 3hrs away over the mountain pass). I know there is a league rule disallowing this type of participation, and that student-athletes must be enrolled in 9 units at the participating school only, but there needs to be a discussion around exemptions for satellite campuses (like OSU-Cascades) that are too far away from their school's main campus (where athletics and recreation activities take place) to be realistic for those students to participate.

COCC and OSU-C have had this sister school relationship for 20 years, and COCC Baseball has relied on dually enrolled students to be able to field a team each year during the duration of our participation in NCBA. I'm not concerned with this being a rule violation in the past for our team, under different leadership, because our team is on the precipice of not existing due to the rule being enforced. The leagues we participate in for Rugby and Soccer do not limit dually enrolled participants as your league does. Neither does Lacrosse, which will be our new spring sport going forward if this rule isn't changed for baseball.

This request is not an excuse to get the best players from another school, or a way to access a recruiting loophole. If we aren't able to allow dually enrolled students to participate, we will not be able to have enough participants to field a team. Our Club Baseball team doesn't have cuts or tryouts — we take all student-athletes no matter their skill level, to simply allow them an opportunity to play college athletics through your league.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Allow participants from a satellite campus to enroll and participate in Club Sport Activities at their local sister school, if their main campus is over a certain distance away. *Open to suggestions.

MODIFY 7.03.01 TO ALLOW STUDENTS AT A BRANCH CAMPUS "X" MILES AWAY FROM THEIR MAIN CAMPUS, COMPETE AT ANY LOCAL SCHOOL

DOES NOT PASS: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Rich Warnol

Email Address: Rich.warnol@gcu.edu

Which team are you associated with?: GCU

What is your role with the team?: Coach

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Add

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: DI 19.01.1

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: NCAA rules and any other league has to have runners slide directly into the base. Allowing players making the play safe being on the inside or outside the base. Should be changed to slide directly into the base from running position or between bases. It currently says arm length and would allow possible injury. Also, umpires are calling it in every league I believe to slide directly in the base and won't know arms length of the NCBA rule.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Safety.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule? On any force play, the runner must slide on the ground before the base and in a direct line between the two bases. It is permissible for the slider's momentum to carry him through the base in the baseline extended (see diagram).

Exception—A runner need not slide directly into a base as long as the runner slides or runs in a direction away from the fielder to avoid making contact or altering the play of the fielder. Interference shall not be called.

MODIFY 19.01.1 TO CHANGE CURRENT RULE STATING A RUNNER MUST BE AT WITHIN ONE ARMS LENGTH OF BASE WHEN SLIDING TO NOW SAY THEY MUST SLIDE DIRECTLY INTO THE BASE.

DOES NOT PASS: 4 VOTES TO 3 VOTES



Name: Multiple Entires

Email Address: N/A

Which team are you associated with?: N/A

What is your role with the team?: Officer

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 27.00.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Enforce the input of statistics from games into the NCBA website. We feel that it should be mandatory for teams to submit stats for each game and if they do not submit stats they are subject to losing their whole performance bond or part of their performance bond.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: This rule should be implemented so that teams who are facing each other can have better information regarding how opposing hitters and pitchers are performing. This will allow teams to better scout for opposing teams before the game, and will also allow for in game decisions to be better informed.

There are several teams who complain about not getting enough credit and this would eliminate the teams complaining about not being recognized. As well as create some more consistency for the NCBA brand across the board. With that being said it also would eliminate some of the question marks with players who may or may not be eligible to play.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: All teams will be subject to submitting stats after each weekend and conclusion of games. Each team will be required to submit these stats within 3 business days after the game has been finalized. If these stats are not posted your team will be subject to losing part or all of your performance bond.

MODIFY 27.00.0 TO STATE TEAMS HAVE UP TO 3 BUSINESS DAYS TO POST THEIR STATS ONTO THE NCBA WEBSITE. FAILURE TO DO SO RESULTS IN POSSIBLE LOSS OF PERFORMANCE BOND.

DOES NOT PASS: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES

ADD SUBSET TO 27.00.0: ALL STATS FROM PRIOR WEEKS GAMES MUST BE SUBMITTED BY MIDNIGHT OF THE FOLLOWING THURSDAY

PASSES: 6 VOTES TO 1 VOTES



Name: Ryan Norris (On behalf of Alabama)

Email Address: Ryan.Norris@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Regional Director

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 27.00.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: *include rules against falsifying stats*

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Falsifying

stats allows players to win weekly and post-season awards.to be better informed.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: TBD

ADD SUBSET TO 27.00.0: ANY TEAM FOUND INTENTIONALLY UPLOADING INACCURATE STATS WILL BE SUBJECT TO POSSIBLE DISCPLINARY ACTION

PASSES: 6 VOTES TO 1 VOTES



Name: Cole Parker

Email Address: cole.matthewparker@gmail.com

Which team are you associated with?: Incoming Grad Student at Pittsburgh

What is your role with the team?: *Player*

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Add

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: DI 7.09

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Create space within the NCBA eligibility framework in the form of an exception to NCBA bylaw 7.09.0 for graduate students who enroll full-time under the age limit per NCBA bylaw 7.08.0, have not previously participated in the NCBA (and therefore have all five of their seasons of eligibility remaining), but do not meet the current requirements of the six-year clock. I am not suggesting an all out overhaul of the eligibility clock (although it is not without precedent - consider the NJCA which bases eligibility on seasons played regardless of age; consider also a semester clock rather than a calendar clock, such as used by NCAA DII; consider too the nature of NCAA DIII eligibility), rather I'm proposing an exception to current eligibility rules that makes the NCBA available to graduate students who meet the following exception.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Without this exception, graduate students who enter graduate school outside of the six year window perhaps they took a gap year, or years, to improve their resume for graduate school, or were forced to postpone attendance due to extenuating family circumstances, COVID-19, etc. - but meet all other eligibility requirements from section 7.00.00 and academic requirements from section 8.00.00, are denied the chance to represent their school's NCBA affiliated club baseball team. It is not apparent why the NCBA should not be available to them provided they meet all other eligibility and academic requirements. Moreover, there is no apparent good reason a nontraditional student who starts an undergraduate degree at a later age, say 25, should be NCBA eligible per the rulebook, when a graduate student starting a postgraduate degree at the same age is ineligible, when both persons meet all other requirements and have not ever participated in the NCBA, simply because the former is initially starting an undergraduate degree and thus has not started their clock, while the latter is initially starting a postgraduate degree. It is not clear what the six-year clock achieves here, or what it achieves at all with regard to the student I describe in this proposal, although what it achieves in other scenarios is clear, which is why an exception is appropriate. The addition of an exception for graduate students who are beyond the six-year window but meet all other eligibility requirements would bridge this gap in current NCBA eligibility policy. This exception particularly makes sense for cases in which the graduate student enters graduate school at an institution different from their undergraduate institution,



2023 NCBA Rules Meeting Saturday July 23rd, 2022

having not participated at their undergraduate institution, and wants a chance to play for their new school's NCBA team..

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule? *Graduate Student Eligibility Exception Verbiage for Graduate Student eligibility exception:*

For a graduate student to be granted an exemption to the NCBA six-year rule, the graduate student must meet the following:

- 1. Must enroll full-time in their postgraduate degree program prior to their 26th birthday.
- 2. Must not have previously participated in any NCBA sanctioned competition.
- 3. Must meet all other NCBA eligibility and academic requirements.
- 4. Must not have exhausted eligibility at the varsity level.

NO VOTE. SUBMISSION NOT ELIGIBLE TO BE CONSIDERED, AS IT DID NOT COME FROM NCBA AFFILIATED PLAYER/OFFICER OR FACULTY.



Name: Cole Parker

Email Address: cole.matthewparker@gmail.com

Which team are you associated with?: Incoming Grad Student at Pittsburgh

What is your role with the team?: Player

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Add

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: DI 7.09

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Grant a Clock Extension Waiver, or an exemption from the six-year rule, to qualifying students upon request, a waiver which has precedent in all three NCAA divisions.

The Clock Extension Waiver is:

- 1. Designed to give students the chance to participate when they have been denied the opportunity to do so within the six-year window established in NCBA bylaw 7.09.0.
- 2. Granted to students kept from competing by circumstance beyond control i.e. injury, illness, for more than one season within their six year window.

This waiver would justly restore NCBA eligibility to a student, upon request, who was denied the ability to participate during their six-year window by circumstances beyond control. To paraphrase from both NCAA manuals (for exact reference see DI bylaw 12.8.1.7.1.1, DII bylaw 14.2.2.4.1.2, and DIII bylaw 14.2.2.4.1.1) circumstances beyond control are: physical or mental circumstances which prevent ability to participate, life threatening illness or injury to a family member, reliance on erroneous academic advice, natural disaster, and extreme financial hardship. With the availability of a Clock Extension Waiver, or Six-Year Rule Waiver, a student is no longer punished by NCBA eligibility for having been ill or injured, or kept from participating by circumstances beyond control during their six-year window.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: NCAA DI: 12.8.1.7 Five-Year Rule Waiver. The Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement, or its designated committee, by a two-thirds majority of its members present and voting, may approve waivers of the five-year rule as it deems appropriate. (Revised: 7/30/10, 7/31/14)

12.8.1.7.1 Waiver Criteria. A waiver of the five-year period of eligibility is designed to provide a student-athlete with the opportunity to participate in four seasons of intercollegiate competition within a five-year period. This waiver may be granted, based upon objective evidence under the following circumstances: (Revised: 4/17/91, 1/11/94, 8/10/94, 10/12/95, 4/27/00, 7/30/10, 7/31/14, 4/25/18 applicable to a student-athlete who qualifies for a waiver that would provide the opportunity to participate in four seasons of competition within a five-



year period, 5/19/22 Immediate; applicable to a student-athlete who qualifies for a waiver that would provide the opportunity to participate in four seasons of competition within a five-year period.)

(a) The student-athlete did not use a season of intercollegiate competition due to an institutional decision to redshirt the student-athlete; the student-athlete was listed on the institution's squad list and was eligible for competition during the segment of the season that concludes with the NCAA championship; and the student-athlete was deprived of the opportunity to participate in intercollegiate competition in one other season due to circumstances beyond the control of the student-athlete or institution. (The use of this provision is limited to one time in a student-athlete's period of eligibility); or (b) The student-athlete is deprived of the opportunity to participate for more than one season in his or her sport within the five-year period of eligibility for reasons that are beyond the control of the student-athlete or the institution.

NCAA DII: 14.2.2.4 Ten-Semester/15-Quarter Rule Waivers. The Management Council, or a committee designated by the Management Council to act for it, by a two-thirds majority of its members present and voting, may approve waivers to the 10-semester/15-quarter rule as it deems appropriate. (Revised: 7/20/10)

14.2.2.4.1 Waiver Criteria. A waiver of the 10-semester/15-quarter period of eligibility is designed to provide a student-athlete with the opportunity to participate in four seasons of intercollegiate competition within a 10-semester/15-quarter period. This waiver may be granted, based upon objective evidence, for reasons that are beyond the control

of the student-athlete and the institution, which deprive the student-athlete of the opportunity to participate for more than one season in their sport within the 10-semester/15-quarter period. The Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement reserves the right to review requests that do not meet the more-than-one-year criteria detailed in this bylaw for extraordinary circumstances or extreme hardship. (Revised: 4/17/91, 1/11/94, 8/10/94, 10/12/95, 1/8/01, 10/22/02, 7/20/10)

NCAA DIII: 14.2.2.4 Ten-Semester/15-Quarter Rule Waiver. The Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement, by a two thirds majority of its members present and voting, may approve waivers to the 10-semester/15-quarter rule as it deems appropriate. (Revised: 4/13/10)

14.2.2.4.1 Waiver Criteria. A waiver of the 10-semester/15-quarter period of eligibility is designed to provide a student-athlete with the opportunity to engage in four seasons of intercollegiate participation within a 10-semester/15-quarter period. This waiver may be granted, based upon objective evidence, for reasons that are beyond the control of the student-athlete and the institution, which deprive the student-athlete of the opportunity to participate for more than one season in their sport within the 10-semester/15-quarter period. The Committee on Student-Athlete Reinstatement reserves the right to review requests that do not meet the more-than-one-season criteria detailed in this bylaw for...



What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: A waiver of the six-year period of eligibility is designed to provide a student-athlete with the opportunity to participate in five seasons of intercollegiate competition within a six-year period. This waiver may be granted, based upon objective evidence under the following circumstances:

The student-athlete is deprived of the opportunity to participate for more than one season in his or her sport within the six-year period of eligibility for reasons that are beyond the control of the student-athlete or the institution.

Circumstances beyond control are best defined in NCAA DI bylaw 12.8.1.7.1.1.

NO VOTE. SUBMISSION NOT ELIGIBLE TO BE CONSIDERED, AS IT DID NOT COME FROM NCBA AFFILIATED PLAYER/OFFICER OR FACULTY.



Name: Kaleb Hansen

Email Address: kaleb hansen@ymail.com

Which team are you associated with?: CSU Pueblo

What is your role with the team?: Officer

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 27.00.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: We would like to see the NCBA host an All-American game, double header, or weekend. Several of our players as well as other teams in our region had said they would have been interested in attending if there was one.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Several players were interested and asked if there was an event for the All Americans, it also brings good publicity to the NCBA.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Each player selected as an All American is able to participate in the NCBA All American Game(s)..

MODIFY 27.00.0 FOR THE IMPLEMENATION OF NCBA ALL AMERICAN GAME

DOES NOT PASS: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Kaleb Hansen

Email Address: kaleb hansen@ymail.com

Which team are you associated with?: CSU Pueblo

What is your role with the team?: Officer

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 3.01

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: We would like the third tie breaker for determining a conference champion to be moved from "conference game results vs. conference champion" to "runs scored against the respective head to head teams". Then if needed to "runs against the respective head to head teams".

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: We feel that it helps determine a better conference champion a more tradition way. This is how most tournaments as well as leagues have determined their champions in the past.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?:

- 3.01.3 The first criterion for determining conference standing is conference-winning percentage.
- 3.01.4 The first tiebreaker for determining conference standing is conference game head to head results.
- 3.01.5 The next tiebreaker for determining conference standing is conference game runs for in head to head results. For example 1: Team A scored 32 runs and Team B scored 36 runs in their head to head match ups. Team B would be the conference champion.
- 3.01.6 The next tiebreaker for determining conference standing is conference game runs against in the head to head results. For example 2: Team A allowed 16 runs and Team B allowed 18 runs in their head to head match ups. Team A would be the conference champion.
- 3.01.7 The next tiebreaker for determining conference standing is conference game results versus the next best conference team.
- 3.01.8 The next tiebreaker for determining conference standing is the lower number of conference game runs allowed versus the tied opponents including forfeits.

MODIFY TIEBREAKER RULES 3.01.

DOES NOT PASS: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Jack Schauer

Email Address: jackschauer17@gmail.com

Which team are you associated with?: CSU Pueblo

What is your role with the team?: Coach

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 17.04.4.1.2

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Modifying the rule to mimic the "Ohtani Rule" that was implemented in the MLB. The rule allows the pitcher to become the DH when they are removed from pitching in the game.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Allowing this would let teams have more flexibility in their lineup creation. Many POs in the league aren't strong hitters and this rule would allow relievers that only pitch not have to worry about hitting and running.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: 17.04.4.1.2 The Pitcher can return as the designated hitter only immediately after they are removed from pitching in the game.

MODIFY 17.04.4.1.2 TO ALLOW PITCHER TO BECOME DH AFTER BEING REMOVED FROM PITCHING

NO VOTE: RULE ALREADY EXISTS → 17.04.2.1.1



Name: Eric Curitore

Email Address: Eric.Curitore@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Front Office

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 1.01.2

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Do we reverse this rule to mandate fall eligible teams to play 1 series in the fall?

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Help with Spring flexibility

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Same verbiage as the past prior to COVID circumstances suspending initial rule.

REVERSE PREVIOUS RULE 1.01.2 STATING ALL FALL ELIGIBLE TEAMS MUST BE SCHEDULED ONE CONFERENCE SERIES IN THE FALL.

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES

*THIS RULE WAS SUSPENDED PRIOR TO THE 20/21 SEASON TO HELP TEAMS WORK THROUGH THE PANDEMIC. PRIOR TO THEN THIS RULE WAS ENFORCED EACH SEASON TO HELP PROVIDE FLEXIBILITY EACH SPRING TO THOSE IN AREAS THAT DEAL WITH POOR WEATHER EARLY ON.

THIS RULE EFFECTS THE REGIONS/CONFERENCES BELOW:

DIVISION I:

GREAT LEAKES REGION, NORTH ATLANTIC REGION, MID AMERICA NORTH, MID ATLANTIC WEST WILL BE REQUIRED TO SCHEDULE AT LEAST ONE CONFERENCE SERIES DURING THE FALL SEASON. ALL OTHER REGIONS/CONFERENCE ARE NOT ELIGIBLE TO COUNT GAMES IN THE FALL.

DIVISION II:

ALL DII TEAMS ARE ELIGIBLE TO PLAY NCBA SANCTIONED BASEBALL GAMES (CONFERENCE/NON-CONFERENCE) DURING THE FALL SEASON, BUT TEAMS IN THE NEW ENGLAND REGION, NEW PENN REGION, CHESAPEAKE REGION AND NORTHERN PLAINS REGION WILL BE REQUIRED TO SCHEDULE AT LEAST ONE CONFERENCE SERIES DURING THE FALL SEASON.



Name: Eric Curitore

Email Address: Eric.Curitore@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Front Office

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Remove?

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 7.09.03

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Accessing the COVID eligibility waiver.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?:

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?:

REMOVE RULE 7.09.03, WHICH COVERS THE COVID WAIVER PLAYERS COULD APPLY FOR IF THEIR FINAL YEAR OF NCBA ELIGIBILITY WAS TAKEN FROM THEM DUE TO THEIR SCHOOL NOT BEING CLEARED TO COMPETE DUE TO COVID RELATED CIRCUMSTANCES.

PASSES: 5 VOTES TO 2 VOTES



Name: Eric Curitore

Email Address: Eric.Curitore@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Front Office

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Remove?

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 6.05.2

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Do we need to keep this rule in place to help roster flexibility if player(s) test positive for COVID?

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?:

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?:

KEEP RULE 6.05.2, WHICH COVERS ROSTER FLEXIBILITY IF & WHEN A ROSTERED PLAYER TESTS POSITIVE FOR COVID.

DOES NOT PASS: 5 VOTES TO 2 VOTES



Name: Eric Curitore

Email Address: Eric.Curitore@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Front Office

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Add?

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: NCAA Rule

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Do we need to add verbiage into our NCBA rules concerning pitch clock and/or any other time limits in between innings or gameplay? NCBA rules defer to NCAA rules should we not cover something specifically...do we need to add something?

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?:

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?:

ADD SUBSET TO 4.00.0: NCAA PACE OF PLAY RULES WILL BE ENFORCED AFTER A WARNING IS ISSUED BY UMPIRE

PASSES: 5 VOTES TO 2 VOTES



Name: Eric Curitore

Email Address: Eric.Curitore@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Front Office

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Add?

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: NCAA Rule

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Do we need to add something to talk shop on extra inning protocol? As in we do not do anything in terms of auto runners on 2nd etc. We need to cross check what the NCAA rule is & perhaps add something within ours if needed.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?:

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?:

NO VOTE

WILL ADD VERBIAGE INTO FAQ SECTION OF THE NCBA RULEBOOK STATING EXTRA INNINGS WILL NOT START WITH RUNNER ON 2ND.



Name: Sandy Sanderson

Email Address: Sandy.Sanderson@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Front Office

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: *Modify*

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 23.02.1

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Detail what Bench Jockeying is: Bench Jockeying (aka Chirping, Trash-Talking, Razing, etc),

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?:

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?:

MODIFY RULE 23.02.1 TO ADD "INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO CHIRPING, TRASH-TALKING, RAZING & VERBAL ABUSE.

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Bradley Peterson

Email Address: erauclubbaseball1@gmail.com

Which team are you associated with?: Embry Riddle

What is your role with the team?: Officer

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: *Modify*

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 1.01.3

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Modify the weekends allowed to request off to include weekends of major local events, such as the Daytona 500 etc.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Since our school is located directly across from the Daytona International Speedway many of our students, players, and coaches buy tickets to attend the races way ahead of time. This causes a lot of scheduling conflicts especially with limited availability of the field. Having bought tickets ahead of time I would not ask my players to skip those events for the games and forcing us to forfeit with a short roster does not seem fair. I'm sure other schools in major cities face the same issue as well. In addition, any teams travelling from far away would have issues getting a hotel in the area

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: 1.01.3.4 Weekend of major local event (must provide documentation or an official website link)

NO VOTE

NCBA TEAMS ARE ENCOURAGED TO REQUEST WEEKENDS OFF ON YOUR SCHEDULE REQUEST FORM PRIOR TO THE SEASON, ALTHOUGH REQUESTING A WEEKEND OFF OUTSIDE OF ALLOWED PARAMETERS LISTED IN THE RULES DOES NOT GAURANTEE YOU'LL RECEIVE IT OFF. THE MORE DETAILED YOUR SCHEDULE REQUESTS ARE THE BETTER CHANCE YOU'LL HAVE.



Name: Ben Pinel

Email Address: ba.pinel.5@gmail.com

Which team are you associated with?: Clemson

What is your role with the team?: Officer

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 7.03.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Further define what a satellite-campus entails. See email from Ben about Bridge program from Tri-C to Clemson. Clemson is a Bridge Program partner as Tri-C students have access to many Clemson opportunities - facilities, programs, intramural & club sports etc.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: All for additional eligibility for students attempting to transfer into main campus.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Update the wording to be more specific about what a satellite-campus means and allow bridge programs at area community colleges to be included in rule.

MODIFY RULE 7.03.0 TO BE MORE SPECIFIC INTO DETAILING WHAT CONSTITUTES AS A SATELLITE CAMPUS.

CREATE 7.03.1.2 STATING, "BRIDGE PROGRAMS DO NOT QUALIFY AS SATELLITE CAMPUSES"

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Ryan Norris

Email Address: Ryan.Norris@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: *Regional Director*

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 3.01

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: The recent application of the tie breaker rules for 3-way ties does not match the verbiage of rule section 3.01. We need to clean up the language. See scenario that nearly happened in Gulf Coast North in 2022. See Ryan Norris email to Eric Curitore in May 2022. This is impacting mostly the at-large eligible team because the tie breaker starts over and it really should not.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: We are potentially selecting an at large team based on a vague rule.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Don't know. We will have to decide what we want the 3-way tie breaker to look like first.

MODIFY RULE 3.01 TO ALLOW MORE CLARITY ON 3 WAY TIES

MODIFY 3.01.4 TO STATE, "CONFERENCE WINNING PERCENTAGE VS. ALL TIED TEAMS"

CREATE 3.01.10 TO STATE, "ONCE TIEBREAKER ELIMINATES A TEAM FROM CONSIDERATION OR DETERMINES A CONFERENCE CHAMPION, THE TIEBREAKING PROCESS STARTS OVER"

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Ryan Norris

Email Address: Ryan.Norris@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Regional Director

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: *Modify*

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 4.03.1.1

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: *Clean up wording. We use the term* "Front Office" here but it really should say Regional Director to match the sub rule to this one.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: N/A

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: An exemption to this is, if both teams wish to play one game of a double header in a 9-inning format so that they can play the single game of the series in a 7-inning format, they may do so if approved by the Regional Director.

NO VOTE NEEDED - WILL MAKE VERBIAGE MATCH



Name: Ryan Norris

Email Address: Ryan.Norris@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Regional Director

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 4.03.2.1

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: *Clean up terminology between* "Front Office" and "Regional Director". Replace FO with RD.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: N/A

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: An exemption to this is, if both teams wish to play the single game of the series in a 7-inning format, they may do so if approved by the Regional Director, provided one game of the series double header is played in a 9-inning format.

NO VOTE NEEDED - WILL MAKE VERBIAGE MATCH



Name: Ryan Norris

Email Address: Ryan.Norris@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Regional Director

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Add

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 4.04.1.2

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Add section requiring all games in a specific round of the tournament to be the same game length.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: We already do this but it would be good to document it.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: All games played within the same round of the playoff will be scheduled for the same game length.

MODIFY RULE 4.04.1.2 TO ADD EXCEPTION STATING ALL GAMES PLAYED WITHIN THE SAME ROUND OF THE PLAYOFF WILL BE SCHEDULED FOR THE SAME LENGTH (DI ONLY AS ALL DII & DIII GAMES ARE 7 INNINGS)

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES

THE #1 SEED WITHIN ANY REGIONAL/DISTRICT PLAYOFF GETS 1ST CHOICE OF GAME TIME ON DAY 1

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Ryan Norris

Email Address: Ryan.Norris@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Regional Director

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 5.04

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: *Update language to allow for writing the full name.*

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Clarity.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: The lineup card must list the first name (or initial), last name and number worn during that particular game for all starting players and available substitutes.

MODIFY RULE 5.04 REQUIRING THE LINEUP CARD TO LIST A PLAYERS FIRST (OR INITIAL), LAST NAME AND NUMBER BEING WORN

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Ryan Norris

Email Address: Ryan.Norris@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Regional Director

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Add

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 9.05.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Should we add something to allow for alternate hats if approved by the NCBA? This would give us an out for supply issues like what we've seen.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Potential supply issues.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Need to come up with it.

NO VOTE



Name: Ryan Norris

Email Address: Ryan.Norris@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Regional Director

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 17.01.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Bold and underline "ONLY for the pitcher"

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Lots of teams miss this rule. Just hoping to emphasize this part of the rule.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Bold and underline "ONLY for the pitcher"

MODIFY RULE 17.01.0 TO BOLD AND UNDERLINE "ONLY FOR THE PITCHER"

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES

*THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS EXTRA OR ADDITIONAL HITTERS



Name: Ryan Norris

Email Address: Ryan.Norris@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Regional Director

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II; Division III;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 28.02

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Remove 2018-2019 season

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: This part is no longer needed. Clean up.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Should a NCBA DI team forfeit 3+ games two years in a row, they will AUTOMATICALLY move down to Division II if there is a replacement available to replace them at the Division I level.

MODIFY RULE 28.02 TO REMOVE 2018-2019 SEASON. NO SPECIFIC SEASON NEED SPECIFIED.

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



DI ONLY

Name: Eric Curitore

Email Address: Eric.Curitore@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Front Office

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division 1;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Add?

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 31.03.3

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: *Northern Pacific East teams to be fall eligible.*

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: These schools consistently face weather hurdles in the Spring. Knocking out a series or two in the fall will go a long way into Spring flexibility.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Add NoPac East to the existing list of Regions/Conferences that are Fall eligible.

MODIFY RULE 31.03.3 TO ALSO ALLOW TEAMS IN THE NORTHEN PACIFIC EAST CONFERENCE TO BE ELIGIBLE TO PLAY NCBA SANCTIONED GAMES IN THE FALL

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Dave Stull

Email Address: Dave.Stull@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Regional Director

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 18.00.00

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Allow courtesy runners in Division I

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Help

pace of the game. Help more guys get involved.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Use Division II verbiage

MODIFY RULE 18.00.00 TO ALLOW COURTESY RUNNERS WITHIN DIVISION I NCBA SANCTIONED GAMES

DOES NOT PASS: 6 VOTES TO 1 VOTES



Name: Zachary Freeman

Email Address: free0096@bears.unco.edu

Which team are you associated with?: Northern Colorado

What is your role with the team?: Officer

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 16.01.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: *I would like the reentry rule to be reversed.*

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: For Northern Colorado this past season, we didn't have a lot of players and reentry would have helped our team out a lot.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule? Reentry is allowed for any Division I game. Only players featured on the original starting lineup at the beginning of the game are eligible to be substituted for and then reenter the game. A starting player who has been substituted for by an eligible bench player may reenter the game one time and only in place of the player that originally substituted in for that starting player.

MODIFY RULE 16.01.0 TO ALLOW RE-ENTRY WITHIN DIVISION I NCBA SANCTIONED GAMES

DOES NOT PASS: 6 VOTES TO 1 VOTES



DII ONLY

Name: Rich Warnol

Email Address: Rich.warnol@gcu.edu

Which team are you associated with?: GCU

What is your role with the team?: Coach

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division II;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 18.01.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: *Allow Courtesy runners. All the time for pitchers and catchers*

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Courtesy runners speed up games and also allows for a specific athlete to be a part of the team with a purpose of helping the team on the bases. Division 2 and 3 could really use this. No reason not to have this rule with these level. High School, Juco, and NAIA baseball all have a courtesy runner. Again, most umpires will expect and want this rule, with the umpires NCBA gets.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Teams have the option to use a courtesy runner for the pitcher/designated hitter or catcher at any time. For speed-up purposes, it is recommended that the courtesy runner be used with two men out in all games. The courtesy runner, although never officially in the game, will be credited with the following:

- A. Run scored
- B. Stolen base
- C. Caught stealing

The courtesy runner rule does not apply to a pinch-hitter for the catcher unless the catcher has been re-entered. However, it is permissible to re-enter a catcher for his pinch-hitter and subsequently use the courtesy runner. A team may not use the same runner for the pitcher/designated hitter and catcher in the same inning. The courtesy runner may not be removed from a base to become a pinch hitter. A player removed from the game may not be used as a courtesy runner. Should the courtesy runner pinch hit, pinch run or enter the game at any position, he will no longer be eligible to be a courtesy runner.

REVERSING RULE 18.05.0 TO ALLOW COURTESY RUNNERS SPECIFICALLY FOR THE CATCHER

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES

MODIFYING RULE 18.01.0 TO ALLOW COURTESY RUNNERS SPECIFICALLY FOR THE PITCHER

DOES NOT PASS: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Kim Carpenter

Email Address: kimcarpenter865@gmail.com

Which team are you associated with?: Grand Canyon University

What is your role with the team?: Coach

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division II;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 18.00.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: *Remove 18.05.0 and keep the courtesy runner for the catcher.*

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Most DII teams do not have depth escrecially at catcher. Some teams have their catcher in for the entire series which can be wearing. I also believe this rule can speed up play especially in situations that umpires manage games accordingly.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule? no wording change needed. Just remove 18.05.0

MODIFY RULE 18.05.0 TO RETAIN COURTESY RUNNERS FOR CATCHERS

NO VOTE: VOTED ON & PASSED EARLIER IN MEETING



Name: Kim Carpenter

Email Address: kimcarpenter865@gmail.com

Which team are you associated with?: Grand Canyon University

What is your role with the team?: Coach

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division II;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 16.00.0

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: I believe this rule should remain in DII. I am also recommending that Division II adopt NAIA rules to avoid any confusion regarding playing rules.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: I have talked to several umpires over this past season and it was somewhat confusing regarding NCAA rules with the exceptions of re-entry and running for the catcher. My rationale is that Division II in general, does not big rosters. This past season I witnessed frustration from an opponent about playing time and ultimately watched players quit the team during one of our double hitters. I also believe that re-entry could help recruiting for Division II.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Keep the language currently in place. Remove 16.01.0 and move 16.02.0 to 16.01.0

REVERSING RULE 16.01.00 TO CONTINUING ALLOWING RE-ENTRY AT THE DIVISION II LEVEL

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Alexander Russo

Email Address: aj21russ@siena.edu

Which team are you associated with?: Siena College

What is your role with the team?: Officer

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division II;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: DII 17.01

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: *Modify the rule regarding DH's only being allowed for the pitcher. Allow for catchers to be DH'd for as well along with pitchers.*

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Given the wear and tear that is put on the catching position throughout a weekend series, the catcher should be allowed to be DH'd for in those games. This will also allow for more strategy with lineup construction based on the pitchers hitting abilities.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: The NCBA allows for the DH to hit for the pitcher or the catcher position in a sanctioned NCBA game.

MODIFY RULE 17.01 TO ALLOW TEAMS TO ALSO DH FOR THEIR CATCHER

DOES NOT PASS: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name: Rich Warnol

Email Address: Rich.warnol@gcu.edu

Which team are you associated with?: GCU

What is your role with the team?: Coach

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division II;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 4.03

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Division 2 baseball should be playing a 9 inning game on Sundays and in playoffs.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: This is college baseball and college baseball plays 9 inning single games at all levels. SO many rules I have suggested in change or adding is to help the players and teams be successful and playing a 9 inning game is a big deal in college baseball. Separates us from High School baseball.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Any single (Non Double Header) regular season NCBA Sanctioned Baseball Game will be played in a 9-inning format.

MODIFY RULE 4.03 TO STATE ALL INDIVIDUAL DIVISION II NCBA SANCTIONED GAMES ARE TO BE PLAYED IN A 9 INNING FORMAT.

DOES NOT PASS: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES

*ALL DIVISION II GAMES ARE TO REMAIN 7 INNINGS. REGULAR SEASON AND PLAYOFFS.



DI & DII

Name: Eric Curitore

Email Address: Eric.Curitore@CollClubSports.com

Which team are you associated with?: NCBA

What is your role with the team?: Front Office

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to

modify, remove or add?: 3.01.2

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Do we reverse the rule regarding requiring non-conference games to be eligible for the postseason?

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: Encourage teams to play baseball.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: Same verbiage as the past prior to COVID circumstances suspending initial rule.

REVERSE PREVIOUS DI & DII RULE 3.01.2 REQUIRING THE PLAY OF NON-CONFERENCE GAME(S) TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR THE POSTSEASON

PASSES: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES

*FOR A DIVISION I TEAM TO BE ELIGIBLE TO WIN THEIR CONFERENCE OR RECEIVE AN AT LARGE BID, THEY MUST PLAY A MINIMUM OF 3 NON-CONFERENCE GAMES, EXCLUDING FORFEITS.

FOR A DIVISION II TEAM TO BE ELIGIBLE TO WIN THEIR CONFERENCE OR RECEIVE AN AT LARGE BID, THEY MUST PLAY A MINIMUM OF 1 NON-CONFERENCE GAME, EXCLUDING FORFEITS.

DIVISION III TEAMS DO NOT HAVE NON-CONFERENCE GAME REQUIREMENTS



Name Grant Fuller

Email Address: scarclubbaseball@gmail.com

Which team are you associated with?: University of South Carolina Club Baseball

What is your role with the team?: Officer

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 6.05.3.4

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Modification to the exact date of the roster freeze on movement from DII to DI, or a better method of levying the concept that does not directly deny the ability of club players to play baseball.

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: The reason that we as a club believe this date should be modified is that we feel it is missing the mark of its intentions. This past spring, with the roster freeze in play, we had obvious roster moves that should be made from the DII up to the DI as our DII team was mathematically eliminated from playoff contention, but with 3 weekends left on the schedule, they still had 6 games yet to play. On the DI side, we had completely finished our schedule of conference and nonconference games prior to April 15 in order to allow weekends for possible makeups. With our DI team certainly in play to make the playoffs and even win the conference at the time as we were the first seed in the South Atlantic East when we made the move, we moved up the 3 players that we felt could contribute the most for us in a potential playoff run.

This played out like so- Our DI team, while keeping an eye on games around the conference, would go on to not make the playoffs and did not play a single game with these 3 players on our roster, and our DII team played their remaining 6 games with their 3 best players having to sit out and watch from the bench. The main reason that we suggest the modification of the rule is from the perspective of advocating for our players. Our players were effectively suspended from play for their final 6 games of the season, in which they paid full member dues to participate in, and for no fault of their own except they were the best 3 players on the team. In a season where our DII team played 21 games, this roster freeze date is comparable to if MLB forced teams to set their playoff rosters in game 115 of the 162-game season, with no idea of whether or not they'd even make the playoffs. While the exact lengths of time are obviously different, the concept is simple: 6 games is a significant portion of a 21 game season, and also noting that there was potential for this to effect 9 games of our season as there were 3 weekends in play before the end of the year. We do not see any added benefit for anyone in the matter with a roster freeze date that is 3 weekends of play before the end of the season on April 15, as opposed to deeper into the season and even after the completion of the season.



These players were forced into an ultimatum of picking between playing in a guaranteed 6 more games where there is no hope for playoff contention, or coming up to the DI roster with the hope of a playoff run, and we are really struggling to find the benefits of putting this on our teams and players. The way we see it, even if our DI team would have made the playoffs, these 3 players still would've been forced to miss their remaining 6 regular season games as opposed to finishing out their season that they paid for, and for what reason?

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: "The deadline for players to be moved up to DI from DII is the NCBA Roster Freeze no later than 48 hours after the official announcement of playoff selections"

This proposed wording is not final, but just ideal. We believe that any move of the roster freeze date further back in any amount of time would be better than the current rule. Whether that is "May 1" or "no greater than 48 hours after the last played game for either team" or any other option.

MODIFY RULE 6.05.3.4 TO MOVE THE ROSTER FREEZE BACK PAST IT'S CURRENT APRIL 15^{TH} DATE.

DOES NOT PASS: 7 VOTES TO 0 VOTES



Name Garrett Larson

Email Address: galarson@iu.edu

Which team are you associated with?: Indiana University

What is your role with the team?: Officer

Which Divisions rules would you like to modify?: Division I; Division II;

Would you like to Modify, Remove, or Add a rule from the rule book?: Modify

What is the section and subsection number (if applicable) of the rule you would like to modify, remove or add?: 6.05.3

Describe the change you would like to see with this rule: Instead of "Schools with both a NCBA DI and DII team are permitted to move up to a total of 3 players up or down between the DI and DII team in a given NCBA season", I think it is smarter to make it "Schools with both a NCBA DI and DII team are permitted to move up to a total of 6 players up or down between the DI and DII team in a given NCBA season." Essentially changing 3 players up or down, to 6 players up or down

What was the reason you would like to see this rule modified, added, or removed?: I believe this rule is too stringent on teams reliant on tryouts and officers making decisions on newcomers based on 10 pitches, 5 swings, or 10 ground balls. The current rule does not give leeway to move up or down players that are on the wrong team based on their skill level and could lead to many kids not playing often, or playing at the wrong level. For example, at IU Club Baseball tryouts, we expect between 120-150 unique players to attend one or two nights at tryouts, and with tournaments, dues, uniforms, and conference games around the corner in September, we must make decisions quickly. While 9-10 would be too much, 6 players moving up or down is a perfect number to adjust for injuries, absences, or any other situation that would arise during the season.

NOTE: To prevent this new rule from being "abused", I would change the deadline to March 15th, so teams cannot stack a D2 team and run the table with D1 caliber players.

What is the proposed wording for the new/modified rule?: "Schools with both a NCBA DI and DII team are permitted to move up to a total of 6 players up or down between the DI and DII team in a given NCBA season."

MODIFY RULE 6.05.3 TO ALLOW SCHOOLS WITH A NCBA DIVISION I & DIVISION II PROGRAM 6 TOTAL MOVES FOR THE YEAR, AS OPPOSED TO WHAT THE RULES NOW STATE; 3.

DOES NOT PASS: 6 VOTES TO 1 VOTES

DIVISION I TEAMS CAN MOVE ONE PLAYER DOWN AT THE EXPENSE OF LOSING THEIR OTHER TWO MOVES IF DONE BEFORE THEIR 7TH CONFERENCE GAME

DOES NOT PASS: 4 VOTES TO 3 VOTES